

1 Stephen M. Doniger, Esq. (SBN 179314)
2 stephen@donigerlawfirm.com
3 Scott Alan Burroughs, Esq. (SBN 235718)
4 scott@donigerlawfirm.com
5 Trevor W. Barrett (SBN 287174)
6 tbarrett@donigerlawfirm.com
7 DONIGER / BURROUGHS
8 603 Rose Avenue
9 Venice, California 90291
10 Telephone: (310) 590-1820
11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
12 ALEXANDRA WOLF

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ALEXANDRA WOLF, an individual,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SURYA, INC., a New York Corporation;
AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware
Corporation; WALMART STORES,
INC., a Delaware Corporation; KOHL'S
CORPORATION, a Wisconsin
Corporation; TARGET CORPORATION,
a Minnesota Corporation; HOUZZ, INC.,
a California Corporation; POLYVORE,
INC., a Delaware Corporation;
WAYFAIR, INC., a Delaware
Corporation; ARCADIAN LIGHTING, a
California Corporation; MODERN
STUDIO FURNISHINGS, INC., a
California Corporation; HAYNEEDLE,
INC., a Delaware Corporation; LNT
BRANDS, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company, individually, and doing

Case No.:

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR:

1. DIRECT COPYRIGHT
INFRINGEMENT; AND
2. VICARIOUS COPYRIGHT
INFRINGEMENT

Jury Trial Demanded

business as “Linens ‘N Things,”
SEQUENTIAL BRANDS GROUP, a
Delaware Corporation, individually, and
doing business as “Linens ‘N Things,”
ORIENTAL RUG GALLERY, L.P., a
Texas Limited Partnership, individually,
and doing business as RUG STUDIO;;
MADDIE G DESIGNS, LLC, a Texas
Limited Liability Company; GLOBAL
INTERNET INNOVATIONS, LLC, a
Florida Limited Liability Company,
individually, and doing business as
GIISTORES.COM; CLOUD KISSED
KIDS COMPANY, an unknown corporate
New Jersey entity; BAEL DÉCOR, INC.,
a Delaware Corporation; LAMPS.COM,
INC, a Pennsylvania Corporation;
BUILD.COM, INC., a California
Corporation; THE CLASSY HOME,
LLC, a New York Limited Liability
Company; RUG PAL, INC., a Texas
Corporation; PLUMSTRUCK, a Division
of Hayneedle, Inc., a Delaware
Corporation; WOOMDEAL, LLC, a New
York Limited Liability Company;
LIGHTINGDIRECT.COM, a division of
Build.com, a California Corporation;
QUILL CORPORATION, a Delaware
Corporation; SEARS, ROEBUCK AND
CO., an Illinois Corporation; GWG
OUTLET, LLC, a Florida Limited
Liability Company; BUY.COM, INC., a
Delaware Corporation, individually and
doing business as RAKUTEN.COM;
and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Alexandra Wolf (“WOLF”), by and through its undersigned attorneys, hereby prays to this honorable Court for relief based on the following:

INTRODUCTION

WOLF is an accomplished visual artist who creates original and unique multi-media artwork by hand in the United States. WOLF owns her artwork in exclusivity and makes sales of products bearing her artwork for profit. Plaintiff’s business is predicated on its ownership of her artwork, and she spends a considerable amount of time and resources creating unique, top-quality, aesthetically-appealing artwork. The Defendants in this case have misappropriated WOLF’s artwork and marketed and sold without permission product bearing same without the permission of WOLF.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This action arises under the Copyright Act of 1976, Title 17 U.S.C., § 101 *et seq.*

2. This Court has federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332 and 1338 (a) and (b).

3. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) and 1400(a) in that this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred.

PARTIES

4. WOLF is an individual domiciled in the State of Connecticut.

5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Surya, Inc. (“SURYA”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, and is doing business in and with the State of California.

6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Amazon.com, Inc. (“AMAZON”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and is doing business in and with the State of California.

1 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
2 Walmart Stores, Inc. (“WALMART”) is a corporation organized and existing under
3 the laws of the State of Delaware, and is doing business in and with the State of
4 California.

5 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Kohl’s
6 Corporation (“KOHLS”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of
7 the State of Wisconsin, and is doing business in and with the State of California.

8 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Target
9 Corporation (“TARGET”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of
10 the State of Minnesota, and is doing business in and with the State of California.

11 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
12 Houzz, Inc. (“HOUZZ”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of
13 the State of California, and is doing business in and with the State of California.

14 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
15 Polyvore, Inc. (“POLYVORE”) is a corporation organized and existing under the
16 laws of the State of Delaware, and is doing business in and with the State of
17 California.

18 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
19 Wayfair, Inc. (“WAYFAIR”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws
20 of the State of Delaware, and is doing business in and with the State of California.

21 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
22 Arcadian Lighting (“ARCADIAN”) is a corporation organized and existing under
23 the laws of the State of California, and is doing business in and with the State of
24 California.

25 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
26 Modern Studio Furnishings, Inc. (“MODERN STUDIO”) is a corporation organized
27

1 and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is doing business in and
2 with the State of California.

3 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
4 Hayneedle, Inc. (“HAYNEEDLE”) is a corporation organized and existing under the
5 laws of the State of Delaware, and is doing business in and with the State of
6 California.

7 16. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant LNT
8 Brands, LLC (“LNT”) is a limited liability company organized and existing under
9 the laws of the State of Delaware, and is doing business in and with the State of
10 California.

11 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
12 Sequential Brands Group (“SEQUENTIAL”) is a corporation organized and existing
13 under the laws of the State of Delaware, and is doing business in and with the State
14 of California.

15 18. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
16 Oriental Rug Gallery, LP (“ORG”) is a limited partnership organized and existing
17 under the laws of the State of Texas, and is doing business in and with the State of
18 California.

19 19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
20 Maddie G. Designs, LLC (“MADDIE G”) is a limited liability company organized
21 and existing under the laws of the State of Texas, and is doing business in and with
22 the State of California.

23 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
24 Global Internet Innovations, LLC d/b/a GiiStores.com (“GIISTORES”) is a
25 corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida, and is
26 doing business in and with the State of California.

1 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
2 Cloud Kissed Kids Company (“CLOUD KISSED”) is an unknown corporate entity
3 organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, and is doing
4 business in and with the State of California.

5 22. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Bael
6 Decor, Inc. (“BAEL”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the
7 State of Delaware, and is doing business in and with the State of California.

8 23. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
9 Lamps.com, Inc. (“LAMPS.COM”) is a corporation organized and existing under
10 the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and is doing business in and with
11 the State of California.

12 24. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
13 Build.com, Inc. (“BUILD.COM”) is a corporation organized and existing under the
14 laws of the State of California, and is doing business in and with the State of
15 California.

16 25. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant The
17 Classy Home, LLC (“CLASSY HOME”) is a limited liability company organized
18 and existing under the laws of the State of New York, and is doing business in and
19 with the State of California.

20 26. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Rug
21 Pal, Inc. (“RUG PAL”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the
22 State of Texas, and is doing business in and with the State of California.

23 27. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
24 Plumstruck, a division of Hayneedle, Inc. (“PLUMSTRUCK”) is a subsidiary of
25 Hayneedle, Inc., a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of
26 Delaware, and is doing business in and with the State of California.

1 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
2 Woomdeal, LLC (“WOOMDEAL”) is a limited liability company organized and
3 existing under the laws of the State of New York, and is doing business in and with
4 the State of California.

5 29. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
6 LightingDirect.com (“LIGHTINGDIRECT”) is a subsidiary of Build.com, Inc., a
7 corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and is
8 doing business in and with the State of California.

9 30. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Quill
10 Corporation (“QUILL”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the
11 State of Delaware, and is doing business in and with the State of California.

12 31. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
13 Sears, Roebuck & Company (“SEARS”) is a corporation organized and existing
14 under the laws of the State of Illinois, and is doing business in and with the State of
15 California.

16 32. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
17 GWG Outlet, LLC (“GWG”) is a limited liability company organized and existing
18 under the laws of the State of Florida, and is doing business in and with the State of
19 California.

20 33. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
21 Buy.com, Inc. (“BUY.COM”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws
22 of the State of Delaware, and is doing business in and with the State of California.

23 34. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant
24 DOES 1-5, inclusive, is a manufacturer, and/or a vendor (and/or agent or employee
25 to a manufacturer or vendor) of products, which DOE Defendants have
26 manufactured and/or supplied and are manufacturing and/or supplying product
27 printed with Plaintiff’s copyrighted artwork (as hereinafter defined) without
28

1 Plaintiff's knowledge or consent or have contributed to said infringement. The true
2 names, whether corporate, individual or otherwise of Defendants DOES 1-10,
3 inclusive, are presently unknown to Plaintiff, which therefore sues said Defendants
4 by such fictitious names and will seek leave to amend this complaint to show their
5 true names and capacities when same have been ascertained.

6 35. Defendants DOES 6 through 10, inclusive, are other parties not yet
7 identified who have infringed Plaintiff's copyrights, have contributed to the
8 infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights, or have engaged in one or more of the
9 wrongful practices alleged herein. The true names, whether corporate, individual or
10 otherwise, of Defendants 1 through 10, inclusive, are presently unknown to Plaintiff,
11 which therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names, and will seek leave to
12 amend this Complaint to show their true names and capacities when same have been
13 ascertained.

14 36. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times
15 relevant hereto each of the Defendants was the agent, affiliate, officer, director,
16 manager, principal, alter-ego, and/or employee of the remaining Defendants and was
17 at all times acting within the scope of such agency, affiliation, alter-ego relationship
18 and/or employment; and actively participated in or subsequently ratified and
19 adopted, or both, each and all of the acts or conduct alleged, with full knowledge of
20 all the facts and circumstances, including, but not limited to, full knowledge of each
21 and every violation of Plaintiff's rights and the damages to Plaintiff proximately
22 caused thereby.

23 **CLAIMS RELATED TO DEER WITH BIRDS DESIGN**

24 37. In 2010, WOLF created a unique work of graphic art that depicted a unique
25 selection and arrangement of stag head and bird imagery juxtaposed above vintage
26 typography ("Deer with Birds Design"). She began offering the work to her
27

customers in or around August of 2010, and made substantial sales of the work. An image of WOLF's Deer with Birds Design is embedded below.

38. WOLF has applied to register her Deer with Birds Design with the U.S. Copyright Office.

39. Plaintiff advertised and marketed product bearing the Deer with Birds Design prior to the acts complained of herein.

40. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that following its distribution of the Deer with Birds Design, Defendants, and each of them, accessed a copy of the Deer with Birds Design from Plaintiff or an intermediary.

41. Plaintiff's investigation revealed SURYA to be manufacturing, advertising, marketing, purchasing, distributing, importing and selling for profit products that bear a textile print that is substantially similar to the Deer with Birds Design (hereinafter "Accused Product"). Accused Product includes, but is not limited, to, the "Surya Alaska Collection HH116 18x18 Pillow" and "Surya Alaska Collection HH116 22x22 Pillow" sold by SURYA. A comparison of WOLF's product and an exemplar of the Accused Product is set forth below:

ACCUSED PRODUCT EXEMPLAR:



WOLF'S ARTWORK:



1 48.Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and
2 each of them, infringed Plaintiff's copyrights by creating, making and/or developing
3 directly infringing and/or derivative works from the Deer with Birds Design and by
4 producing, distributing and/or selling the Accused Product through a nationwide
5 network of retail stores and on-line outlets.

6 49.Due to Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered substantial
7 damages to its business in an amount to be established at trial.

8 50.Due to Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered substantial
9 loss of potential profits from the licensing of the Deer with Birds Design, in an
10 amount to be established at trial.

11 51.Due to Defendants' acts of infringement, Plaintiff has suffered general and
12 special damages in an amount to be established at trial.

13 52.Due to Defendants' acts of copyright infringement as alleged herein,
14 Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect profits they would
15 not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of the Deer with Birds Design.
16 As such, Plaintiff is entitled to disgorgement of Defendant's profits directly and
17 indirectly attributable to Defendant's infringement of the Deer with Birds Design in
18 an amount to be established at trial.

19 53.Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the infringement
20 of WOLF's copyrighted designs was willful, reckless, and/or in blatant disregard for
21 WOLF's rights as a copyright holder.

22 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

23 (For Vicarious Copyright Infringement - Against all Defendants, and Each)

24 54.Plaintiff repeats, realleges and incorporates herein by reference as though
25 fully set forth the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this
26 Complaint.
27

1 55.Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants
2 knowingly induced, participated in, aided and abetted in, and profited from the
3 illegal reproduction of the Deer with Birds Design and the sales of Accused Product
4 as alleged hereinabove.

5 56.Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants, and
6 each of them, are vicariously liable for the infringement alleged herein because they
7 had the right and ability to supervise the infringing conduct and failed to stop the
8 infringing conduct, and because they had a direct financial interest in the infringing
9 conduct.

10 57.By reason of the Defendants', and each of their, vicarious infringement as
11 alleged above, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer substantial damages
12 to its business in an amount to be established at trial, as well as additional general
13 and special damages in an amount to be established at trial.

14 58.Due to Defendants' acts of copyright infringement as alleged herein,
15 Defendants, and each of them, have obtained direct and indirect profits they would
16 not otherwise have realized but for their infringement of the Deer with Birds Design.
17 As such, Plaintiff is entitled to disgorgement of Defendants' profits directly and
18 indirectly attributable to Defendants' infringement of the Deer with Birds Design, in
19 an amount to be established at trial.

20 59. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the infringement
21 of WOLF's copyrighted designs was willful, reckless, and/or in blatant disregard for
22 WOLF's rights as a copyright holder.

23 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

24 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

25 **Against All Defendants**

26 **With Respect to Each Claim for Relief**

- a. That Defendants, their agents and employees be enjoined from infringing Plaintiff's copyrights, specifically those for the Deer with Birds Design;
- b. That Plaintiff be awarded all profits of Defendants plus all losses of Plaintiff, plus any other monetary advantage gained by the Defendants through their infringement, the exact sum to be proven at the time of trial, as available under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*;
- c. That a trust be imposed over all Accused Product and any revenues derived from the sale or distribution of the Accused Product, or unauthorized exploitation of the Deer with Birds Design.
- d. That Defendants, and each of them, account to Plaintiff for their profits and any damages sustained by Plaintiff arising from the foregoing acts of infringement;
- e. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest as allowed by law;
- f. That Plaintiff be awarded general and special damages; and
- g. That Plaintiff be awarded such further legal and equitable relief as the Court deems proper.

A TRIAL BY JURY PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 38 AND
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT SEVEN IS HEREBY DEMANDED.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 5, 2015

By: /s/ Scott Alan Burroughs
Scott Alan Burroughs, Esq.
DONIGER / BURROUGHS
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ALEXANDRA WOLF